

Course Title	Curriculum Studies				
Programme Title	M.A. Education	M.A. Education			
Specialisation	-	-			
Mode	M1	M1 Level 3			
Course ID		Credits	4		
Course Type	Core	Semester	2		
Version	1.0	Academic Year	2021-22		
Course Development	Ajita Raghavendra, Akash Bhattacharya, Arun Naik, Sindhu				
Team	Mathai, Varadarajan Narayanan				

Rationale and Introduction

The M.A. Education programme aims to develop the required competencies and capabilities that would enable educational practitioners to make carefully planned interventions towards improving school education in India. This programme is designed to ensure such interventions would be grounded in theoretical and practical insights concerning several aspects of school educational contexts and practices. It implies that our students would be introduced to some of the core components of school education, and curriculum is one such. School education being an intentional endeavour draws from certain aims and objectives, which will inform a well-developed curriculum. While the centrality of a good curriculum is never in dispute, what qualifies as a good curriculum and why, how we may develop one, what factors should be taken into account in designing a good curriculum - all of these issues are quite complex and need careful attention. This course, offered in the second semester of the M.A. Education programme along with curriculum and pedagogy courses in specific school subjects, attempts to introduce students to different aspects of school curriculum, its development and implementation. It is important to note that this course is a general introduction to the domain of curriculum and a critical engagement with issues related to it. The discussions in this course would overlap and be supplemented by discussions in curriculum-related courses offered for specific school subjects.



The course aims to enable students to analyse and critique curricula through different lenses and perspectives, and also contribute to curriculum development exercises. It also familiarizes students with various debates and discussions around curriculum and the grounds on which different aspects of curricula are contested. The discussions would be based on examples from a variety of curricula developed for school education in India. These opportunities are supplemented with discussions on curriculum development; the principles that are central to such exercises and the demands that ought to be met. This is aimed at developing a reflective understanding of curriculum development requirements and processes in an attentive, critical and constructive manner, in order to contribute to interventions in educational practice.

Prerequisites

None

Intended Learning Outcomes

By the end of the course, students will be able to:

- 1. Explain the rationale for curriculum, the structure and components of different types of school curricula and their development processes.
- 2. Contribute to curriculum development and evaluation exercises informed by principles of curriculum theory.
- 3. Examine the rationale and place of various school subjects within the structure of curriculum.
- 4. Suggest means to resolve the delineated issues and constraints related to curriculum implementation.
- 5. Evaluate the aims and structure of some of the most significant school curricula developed in India

Syllabus & Readings

Brief overview of the course

This course, offered in the second semester, introduces students to some of the central issues in the area of curriculum studies. Divided into four units, the course begins with a systematic exposure to the significance of a well-planned curriculum with a clear formulation of aims,



the different components of a curriculum and their interrelationships, and ways of classifying curricula. The second unit discusses principles relevant to both curriculum design and evaluation, such as those related to organization of content, sequential arrangement of topics and progression. This unit also occasions a discussion on how disciplines that constitute education studies as a field can contribute to curriculum design and evaluation. In the third unit, discussions centre around the nature of school subjects and their relationship with disciplines, rationale for their inclusion and the place they occupy in a curriculum. The course concludes, in the fourth unit, by introducing students to challenges that one encounters in the implementation of curriculum in classrooms and ways of resolving them. Throughout the course, students are introduced to a variety of curricular documents (including policy and curriculum frameworks). Through workshops, students are introduced to curriculum and TLM development processes followed in India

Unit name	Weeks
1. Nature of Curriculum	4 weeks
2. Principles of Curriculum Development and Evaluation	6 weeks
3. Subjects and their Place in a Curriculum	3 weeks
4. Curriculum Implementation	3 weeks

Unit 1: Nature of curriculum (4 weeks)

This unit introduces students to the idea of curriculum through fundamental questions such as: What are the aims and objectives of an educational programme? What are the learning experiences to be provided to attain these goals? How are these learning experiences to be structured and how do we assess whether these goals are attained? These questions will lead to the rationale for a well-planned curriculum. A broad overview of the components of a curriculum such as its aims, stage-specific objectives, content, pedagogy, assessment and the relationships between each will follow. The students are then introduced to different ways of classifying curricula and curricular models with examples from documents developed in



India. Turning the discussion even more specifically to the Indian school context, students are introduced to documents with curricular import such as: policies, curriculum frameworks, curricula and syllabi, to understand their interrelationships as well as differences in scope.

Week Topic **Essential Reading** • Glatthorn, A.A., Week 1 • Introduction to the course Boschee, F, Whitehead, Purposes and Nature of Curriculum B.M. (2012). 'The Nature of Curriculum'. From *Curriculum Leadership – Strategies* for Development and Implementation. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc. pp. 3-31 Week 2 Components of Curriculum Glatthorn, A.A., • • Boschee, F, Whitehead, Types and Classification of B.M. (2012). 'The Curriculum Nature of Curriculum'. From *Curriculum Leadership – Strategies* for Development and Implementation. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc. pp. 3-



		 31 Ross. A. "Content- Driven Curricula" From A Ross, <i>Curriculum:</i> <i>Construction and</i> <i>Critique</i>. London: Falmer Press. Pp. 97- 148.
Week 3	• Types and Classification of Curriculum	 Ross. A. "Content- Driven Curricula" From A Ross, <i>Curriculum:</i> <i>Construction and</i> <i>Critique</i>. London: Falmer Press. Pp. 97- 148. Stenhouse, L. "A Critique of the Objectives and Process Model of Curriculum. In David Scott. (Ed.) <i>Curriculum Studies:</i> <i>Major Themes in</i> <i>Education. Vol. II.</i> Routledge. 112-25
Week 4	• Curricular documents in India: Policy, Curriculum	• Yadav, M.S. (2009). "Introduction" <i>National</i>



Frameworks and Curriculum	Curriculum Framework:
Documents, their Scope and	A Historical Perspective.
Differences	New Delhi: NCERT. pp.
	1-11
	• NCERT. (2006).
	National Curriculum
	Framework. New Delhi:
	NCERT. pp. 4-7
	Documents, their Scope and

Note: The discussions in each of these weeks will draw examples from a variety of documents such as policy documents of 1986 and 2020, curriculum frameworks such as of 2005, and state and/or other curriculum documents. The instructor may require students to read short excerpts (not more than 2 pages) from these documents.

Additional Readings

- Reiss, J.M. and White, J. (2013). *An aims based curriculum; the significance of human flourishing*, IOE Press
- Elliott, E. (1967). "Educational Objectives: Help or Hindrance?" Scott, D (ed). *Curriculum Studies: Major Themes in Education*. Routledge.
- Flinders, D. and Thornton, S. (2017). *Curriculum Studies Reader*. Routledge

Unit 2: Principles of Curriculum Development and Evaluation (6 weeks)

This unit provides a systematic introduction to the following central aspects of curriculum design: 1. models for the organization of content, such as, integrated and subject-centred; 2. determination of content appropriate for different developmental stages and sequential progression of content; 3. Coherence and consistency across content and stages; and 4. structuring pedagogic practices to progress from concrete to abstract ideas. These discussions are undertaken in order to introduce students to relevant principles for purposes of curriculum design as well as to evaluate available curricula. Further, this is also



an opportunity to understand how different constitutive disciplines of Education Studies contribute to curriculum design and evaluation. For example, how insights from child development and learning are significant to the selection and organization of content in keeping with stages of development, philosophical reflection contributes to thinking about epistemic aspects, and sociological perspectives are important in determining aspects related to the social context of children that should be taken into account in any meaningful curricular exercise, as well as in delineating how ideological forces shape curriculum. This unit will also include two workshops with individuals or organizations that have been involved in curriculum design to enable students understand the practices followed in such exercises.

Week	Topic	Essential Reading
Week 5	Contributions of disciplines for	Tyler, R. [2004] 'Basic
	curriculum design	Principles of Curriculum and
		Instruction.' From The
		Curriculum Studies Reader,
		Editors David J. Flinders and
		Stephen J. Thornton. New
		York: RoutledgeFalmer, 51-
		60.
Week 6	Principles of Selection and Organization	Peters, R.S. and Hirst, P.H.
	of Content	(1970) The Curriculum.
		From The Logic of
		Education by Hirst, P.H. and
		Peters, R.S. Routledge and
		Kegan Paul.
Week 7	Principles of Selection and organization	Bernstein, B. (1971). "On the
	of content	classification and framing of



		educational knowledge."
		From Knowledge and
		Control: New Directions for
		the Sociology of Education.
		Edited by Michael F. D.
		Young, Collier-MacMillan
		Publishers.
Week 8	Progression and Sequencing in	Carr, D. (2003). Curriculum:
	Curriculum Design	Purpose, Form and Content.
		Making Sense of Education.
		Routledge Falmer
Week 9	Further Principles for Curriculum	Bourdieu, P. (1990).
	Design	Principles for Reflecting on
		Curriculum. Curriculum
		<i>Journal</i> , 1:3, pp: 307 – 314
Week 10	Further Principles for Curriculum	Bourdieu, P. (1990).
	Design	Principles for Reflecting on
	Curriculum evaluation	Curriculum. Curriculum
		Journal, 1:3, pp: 307 – 314
		Frances Schoonmaker.
		[2010]" Curriculum
		Evaluation" from Craig
		Kridel, ed. Encyclopedia of
		Curriculum Studies. London:
		Sage, pp. 208-



Note: The discussions in each of these weeks will draw examples from a variety of documents such as policy documents of 1986, 2020, curriculum frameworks such as of 2005, and state and/or other curriculum documents. The instructor may require students to read short excerpts (not more than 2 pages) from these documents.

Additional Readings

- Norris, N. Curriculum Evaluation Revisited. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 28:2, 207-219.
- Jain, M. (2015). Curriculum Studies in India: Colonial Roots and Postcolonial Trajectories. In William Pinar, (ed). *Curriculum Studies in India*. Palgrave Macmillan. Pp. 111-141
- Apple, M. On Analyzing Hegemony. From *Ideology and Curriculum* Routledge Falmer. 3rd Edition. 2004.
- Pinar, W. (2017). (Ed.) *Curriculum Studies in India*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Apple, M. (2004). *Ideology and Curriculum*. 3rd Edition. Routledge Falmer.
- Arthur, J. and Davies, I. (2010). *The Routledge Education Studies Reader*. Routledge.
- Stenhouse, L. (1975) *An introduction to Curriculum Research and Development*, London: Heineman.
- Taba, H. (1962) *Curriculum Development: Theory and practice*, New York: Harcourt Brace and World.
- Houle, C. O. (1972) *The Design of Education*, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. 323 pages.
- Kelly, A. V. (1983; 1999) *The Curriculum. Theory and practice* 4e, London: Paul Chapman.
- Malewski, E (2009); *Curriculum Studies Handbook The Next Moment*, Routledge.

Unit 3: Subjects and their place within curricula (3 weeks)

This unit will discuss the rationale for the formation of school subjects: the most widely used model for structuring the curriculum. Multiple frames will be used to understand its formation: the organisation of a discipline, characterised by central concepts and methods; the cultural, socio-political and historical trajectory, as well as choice of pedagogy linked to developmental and contextual considerations. The rationale and positioning of four



elementary stage subjects: Language, Mathematics, Environmental Studies and the Arts will also be examined. Some questions that will be explored are: What is the rationale for these core subjects? What may be assumed as 'co-curricular'? How do the aims of education translate into their specific objectives? How is the content and pedagogy of a particular subject shaped by the nature of the discipline? etc. The National Education Policy (2020) makes a case for a multi-disciplinary approach in secondary and tertiary education. This Unit will also examine the merits and challenges of such an approach over conventional organization into school subjects.

Week	Торіс	Essential Reading
Week	Historical	• Dewey, J. (1966) [1902]. The Child and the Curriculum. Chicago: The
11	developme	University of Chicago Press. pp: 3-32.
	nt of	• Goodson, I. (1988). Becoming a School Subject. Chapter 10 in <i>The</i>
	subjects;	Making of Curriculum. London: The Falmer Press. pp: 155-177.
	the	
	discipline	
	and the	
	child	
Week	Stage-	• National Curriculum Framework (2005). Summaries of the National
12	specific	Focus Group Position Papers on Teaching of English, Teaching of Indian
	objectives	Language, Teaching of Mathematics, Art Music, Dance and Theatre. New
	of school	Delhi: National Council for Educational Research and Training.
	subjects	Retrieved from: https://ncert.nic.in/focus-group.php?ln=
		• National Curriculum Framework (2005). Syllabus: Environmental
		Studies, Classes 3-5. New Delhi: National Council for Educational
		Research and Training.
Week	Stage-	Continuation of the above-mentioned readings.
13	specific	• National Education Policy (2020). New Delhi: Ministry of Human



objectives	Resource Development, Govt. of India. Retrieved from:
of school	https://www.mhrd.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_
subjects;	English_0.pdf
multi-	
disciplinary	
approaches	

Essential Readings

- Dewey, J. (1966) [1902]. *The Child and the Curriculum*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. pp: 3-32.
- Goodson, I. (1988). Becoming a School Subject. Chapter 10 in *The Making of Curriculum*. London: The Falmer Press. pp: 155-177.
- National Curriculum Framework (2005). Summaries of the National Focus Group Position Papers on *Teaching of English, Teaching of Indian Language, Teaching of Mathematics, Art Music, Dance and Theatre.* New Delhi: National Council for Educational Research and Training. Retrieved from: https://ncert.nic.in/focus-group.php?ln=
- National Curriculum Framework (2005). *Syllabus: Environmental Studies, Classes 3-5.* New Delhi: National Council for Educational Research and Training.
- National Education Policy (2020). New Delhi: Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India. Retrieved from: https://www.mhrd.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

Additional readings

- Bruner, J. (1977). The importance of structure, Readiness to learn. In *The process of education*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Hirst, P. H. (1974). *Knowledge and the Curriculum*. London: Routledge and Paul.
- Skilbeck, M. (1994). The core curriculum: an international perspective. In A. Pollard and J. Bourne (Eds.) *Teaching and learning in the primary school*. London: Open University Press.



• Ruthven, K. (1978). The Disciplines Thesis and the Curriculum: A Case Study. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 26 (2) (June 1978). pp. 163-176.

Unit 4: Curriculum Implementation (3 weeks)

Curriculum implementation is the process through which the intended learning experiences are actualized in the classroom. Successful curriculum implementation is dependent on many factors that include clearly laid out syllabus and good textbooks, a supportive classroom and school environment, well-trained teachers, and periodic assessments, monitoring, and supervision. Most importantly, it is dependent on how justifiable the assumptions made are, about implementation during the curriculum development process itself.

This unit will introduce students to the common assumptions made about implementation during the curriculum development process. These assumptions cover three major areas: availability of resources, teacher knowledge and capacities, and school level support. Students will critically evaluate some of the basic assumptions made in these three areas by looking at specific curricular artefact such as a textbook for a particular grade and discuss implications of these for curriculum implementation.

Successful implementation of a curriculum reform is also dependent on the effectiveness of in-service teacher training, which is also influenced by the assumptions made about teacher knowledge and capacities. A teacher training program that follows a curriculum reform process should facilitate reflective engagement of teachers with the new curriculum. In this unit, students will also be introduced to the essential components that such a training program must include, such as awareness of philosophical, sociological, and psychological aspects that that underlie a curriculum, awareness of tools for curriculum analysis and interpretation, and cooperation and collaboration among teachers. The unit will use case studies, wherever possible to help students understand the complexities involved in the process of curriculum implementation.



Week	Торіс	Es	Essential Reading		
Week 14	What is curriculum implementation	•	Fullan and Pomfret		
	and why should one study it? What are		(1977). Research on		
	the common assumptions made about		Curriculum and		
	curriculum implementation during the		Instruction		
	development process?		Implementation. Review		
			of Educational Research,		
			Vol 47, No 2. 335-339.		
		•	Glatthorn et. al		
			(2019) Aligning the		
			<i>Curriculum</i> , Curriculum		
			Leadership, SAGE		
			Publications, Inc.		
			California. 460-491.		
Week 15	Teachers involvement in curriculum	•	Ben-Peretz, M. (1990)		
	implementation.		Patterns of Teachers'		
	Significant components of a teacher		Involvement in the		
	education program to facilitate		Curriculum		
	reflective engagement of teachers with		Endeavour, In <i>The</i>		
	curriculum.		teacher-curriculum		
			encounter - Freeing		
			teachers from the		
			tyranny of the texts. State		
			University of New York		
			Press. New York. 1-20.		
		•	Ben-Peretz, M. (1990)		
			Implications for Teacher		
		1			



		Development, In The	
		teacher-curriculum	
		encounter - Freeing	
		teachers from the	
		tyranny of the texts. State	
		University of New York	
		Press. New York. 109-	
		119.	
Week 16	Wrapping up the course and		
	assessments		

Essential Readings

- Fullan and Pomfret (1977). Research on Curriculum and Instruction Implementation. *Review of Educational Research*, Vol 47, No 2. 335-339.
- Glatthorn et. al (2019) Aligning the Curriculum, *Curriculum Leadership*, SAGE Publications, Inc. California. 460-491.
- Ben-Peretz, M. (1990) Patterns of Teachers' Involvement in the Curriculum Endeavour, In *The teacher-curriculum encounter Freeing teachers from the tyranny of the texts*. State University of New York Press. New York. 1-20.
- Ben-Peretz, M. (1990) Implications for Teacher Education and Staff Development, In *The teacher-curriculum encounter Freeing teachers from the tyranny of the texts*. State University of New York Press. New York. 109-119.
- Loughlin and Suina. (1982). The Learning Environment: A Conceptual View. In *The Learning Environment: An Instructional Strategy*. Teachers College Press. New York. 1-18.

Additional Readings

• Rampal, A. (1991) Deliverance from the 'Delivery' Metaphor: curriculum innovation in India, *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 17:3, 237-244.



- UNESCO (2014). *Textbooks and learning resources: Guidelines for developers and users*. 8-16. <u>en.unesco.org/themes/gced/textbooks</u>
- CABE (2005). Regulatory Mechanisms for textbooks and parallel textbooks taught in schools outside the government system. MHRD. GOI. Pages 11-17, 40-80 (Will be divided into smaller sections and given to groups), 80-81. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/document-reports/textbooks.pdf
- Sardana, A. (2020). Textbook Development Process Some Reflections and Learnings. 3 pages. teachersofindia.org/en/article/textbook-development-process—somereflections-and-learnings
- Julie A. Fitz & A. C. Nikolaidis (2020) A democratic critique of scripted curriculum, *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 52:2, 195-213
- Reynolds et al. (1988) Teachers and curriculum materials: Who is driving whom? *Curriculum Perspectives* 8, 1:22–29.
- McVeety, E. and Farren, M. (2020) An action research enquiry into child voice in the primary classroom by empowering children to arrange and implement their own timetable, *Educational Action Research*, 28:3, 383-404.
- Briggs, F. and Nichols, S. (2001) Pleasing Yourself and Working for the Teacher: Children's Perceptions of School, *Early Child Development and Care*, 170, 1. 13-30.
- J. Fitzpatrick, E. O'Grady and J. O'Reilly (2018) Promoting student agentic engagement through curriculum: exploring the Negotiated Integrated Curriculum initiative, *Irish Educational Studies*, 37:4, 453-473.
- Beattle, M. and Thiessen, D. (2006) School-based restructuring and curriculum change: teachers' and students' contrasting perspective, *The Curriculum Journal*, 8,3. 411-440

Pedagogy

Students will be expected to critically engage with the readings to participate in classroom discussions. At various points, curricular documents will be used for illustrative purposes.



There will be some expectation of group-work as well as engagement with differing perspectives of peers during debates. The course would also include workshops with organizations/officials who have been involved in state-level or national-level curriculum development exercises, as part of Unit 2 content.

Practicum

Practicum sessions provide an opportunity for students to connect lectures, classroom discussions and readings with the engagements that take with curriculum in school and classroom contexts. These are planned through opportunities for observation and interaction with teachers on different aspects of curriculum. Two specific activities that students are required to carry out, which are tied with assessment, are the following:

- Students' and teachers' interpretation of a curriculum artefact.
- Analysis of a curricular module based on discussions in the workshop with curriculum developers.

Assessment Type	Percentage	Intended Learning Outcome
Analysis of key themes discussed in Unit 1 with the		
help of a curriculum document developed in India:		
The themes will be linked to the components of	20	1 and 5
curricula as well as the classification of curricular		
models. [Written and individual]		
Analysis of a curriculum document based on		
principles of curriculum development and	20	2 and 5
evaluation: These principles are outlined in the Unit	_0	
2 description and are also linked to the		

Assessment and Grading



foundational disciplines of education [written and		
individual]		
Curriculum Module Development: Students will		
draw upon their understanding of the inter-		
relationships between curricular components and	25	2
models to organise a short module in a subject or	25 2 and 3	
thematic area of their choice [written and in groups		
of 4]		
Two practicum deliverables [written and	20	2, 3 and 4
individual]	20	2, 5 allu 4
Attendance and class participation	15	